

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the subject application as amended. In response to the Office Action mailed 5/21/04, Applicant is filing this amendment. After this amendment, claims 1, 4-15 and 18-20 are pending.

In the Office Action mailed 5/21/04, the Examiner has rejected all of the claims based on the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting. Applicant submits that the amended claims are now distinguishable from the claims of the 6,686,775 patent to not warrant such a rejection. However, if the Examiner insists on the rejection based on the judicially created doctrine of double patenting, Applicant will submit a terminal disclaimer to overcome the rejection.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to withdraw the double patenting rejection and allow amended claims 1, 4-15 and 18-20.

If there are any fee shortages related to this response, please charge such fee shortages to Deposit Account No. 50-2126.

Respectfully submitted,

GARLICK, HARRISON & MARKISON, LLP
(Customer No. 34,399)

Date: 8-13-04

By: William W. Kidd
William W. Kidd
Reg. No. 31,772
Phone: (512) 263-1842
Fax No: (512) 263-1469
Email: wkidd@texaspatents.com

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

37 C.F.R. 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date below:

8-13-04
Date

William W. Kidd
Signature